

# Regularization of Certain Divergent Series of Polynomials

Liviu I. NICOLAESCU

**Abstract.** We investigate the generalized convergence and sums of series of the form  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \mathbf{T}^n P(x)$ , where  $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ ,  $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\forall n \geq 0$ , and  $\mathbf{T} : \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x]$  is a linear operator that commutes with the differentiation  $\frac{d}{dx} : \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x]$ .

**Keywords:** translation invariant operators, divergent series, summability.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000):** 05A10, 05A19, 11B83, 40A30, 40G05, 40G10.

## 1 The main result

We consider series of the form

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \mathbf{T}^n P(x), \quad (\dagger)$$

where  $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ , and  $\mathbf{T} : \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x]$  is a linear operator such that

$$\mathbf{T}D = D\mathbf{T}, \quad (*)$$

where  $D$  is the differentiation operator  $D = \frac{d}{dx}$ . The condition  $(*)$  is equivalent with the translation invariance of  $\mathbf{T}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathbf{T}U^h = U^h\mathbf{T}, \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (\text{I})$$

where  $U^h : \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x]$  is the translation operator

$$\mathbb{R}[x] \ni p(x) \mapsto p(x+h) \in \mathbb{R}[x].$$

For simplicity we set  $\mathbf{U} := U^1$ . Clearly  $U^h \in \mathcal{O}$  so a special case of the series  $(\dagger)$  is the series

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n U^{nh} P(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n P(x+nh), \quad h \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (\ddagger_h)$$

which is typically divergent.

We denote by  $\mathcal{O}$  the  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra of translation invariant operators. We have a natural map

$$\mathcal{Q} : \mathbb{R}[[t]] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}, \quad \mathbb{R}[[t]] \ni \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n \frac{t^n}{n!} \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{c_n}{n!} D^n.$$

It is known (see [1, Prop. 3.47]) that this map is an isomorphism of rings. We denote by  $\sigma$  the inverse of  $\mathcal{Q}$

$$\sigma : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[[t]], \quad \mathcal{O} \ni \mathbf{T} \mapsto \sigma_{\mathbf{T}} \in \mathbb{R}[[t]].$$

For  $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{O}$  we will refer to the formal power series  $\sigma_{\mathbf{T}}$  as the *symbol* of the operator  $\mathbf{T}$ . More explicitly

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{T}}(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{c_n(\mathbf{T})}{n!} t^n, \quad c_n(\mathbf{T}) = (\mathbf{T}x^n)|_{x=0} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We denote by  $\mathbb{N}$  the set of nonnegative integers, and by  $\mathbf{Seq}$  the vector space of real sequences, i.e., maps  $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\mathbf{Seq}^c$  the vector subspace of  $\mathbf{Seq}$  consisting of all convergent sequences.

A *generalized notion of convergence*<sup>1</sup> or *regularization method* is a pair  $\mu = (\mu \text{ lim}, \mathbf{Seq}_{\mu})$ , where

- $\mathbf{Seq}_{\mu}$  is a vector subspace of  $\mathbf{Seq}$  containing  $\mathbf{Seq}^c$  and,
- $\mu \text{ lim}$  is a linear map

$$\mu \text{ lim} : \mathbf{Seq}_{\mu} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathbf{Seq}_{\mu} \ni a \mapsto \mu \lim_n a(n) \in \mathbb{R}$$

such that for any  $a \in \mathbf{Seq}^c$  we have

$$\mu \lim a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a(n).$$

The sequences in  $\mathbf{Seq}_{\mu}$  are called  $\mu$ -convergent and  $\mu \text{ lim}$  is called the  $\mu$ -limit. To any sequence  $a \in \mathbf{Seq}$  we associate the sequence  $\mathbf{S}[a]$  of partial sums

$$\mathbf{S}[a](n) = \sigma_{k=0}^n a(k). \tag{1.1}$$

A series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)$  is said to be  $\mu$ -convergent if the sequence  $\mathbf{S}[a]$  is  $\mu$ -convergent. We set

$$\mu \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n) := \mu \lim_n \mathbf{S}[a](n).$$

We say that  $\mu \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)$  is the  $\mu$ -sum of the series. The regularization method is said to be *shift invariant* if it satisfies the additional condition

$$\mu \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n) = a(0) + \mu \sum_{n \geq 1} a(n). \tag{1.2}$$

We refer to the classic [3] for a large collection of regularization methods.

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we set

$$[x]_k := \begin{cases} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x - i), & k \geq 1 \\ 1, & k = 0, \end{cases}, \quad \binom{x}{k} := \frac{[x]_k}{k!}.$$

We can now state the main result of this paper.

<sup>1</sup>Hardy refers to such a notion of convergence as convergence in some ‘Pickwickian’ sense.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mu$  be a regularization method,  $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{O}$  and  $f(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n \in \mathbb{R}[[t]]$ . Set  $c := c_0(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{T}1$ . Suppose that  $f$  is  $\mu$ -regular at  $t = c$ , i.e.,*

$$\text{for every } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ the series } \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n [n]_k c^{n-k} \text{ is } \mu\text{-convergent.} \quad (\mu)$$

We denote by  $f^{(k)}(c)_\mu$  its  $\mu$ -sum

$$f^{(k)}(c)_\mu := {}^\mu \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n [n]_k c^{n-k}.$$

Then for every  $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  the series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n (\mathbf{T}^n P)(x)$  is  $\mu$ -convergent and its  $\mu$ -sum is

$${}^\mu \sum a_n (\mathbf{T}^n P)(x) = f(\mathbf{T})_\mu P(x),$$

where  $f(\mathbf{T})_\mu \in \mathcal{O}$  is the operator

$$f(\mathbf{T})_\mu := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{f^{(k)}(c)_\mu}{k!} (\mathbf{T} - c)^k. \quad (1.3)$$

**Proof.** Set  $\mathbf{R} := \mathbf{T} - c$  and let  $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ . Then

$$\mathbf{R} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{c_n(\mathbf{T})}{n!} D^n$$

so that

$$\mathbf{R}^n P = 0, \quad \forall n > \deg P. \quad (1.4)$$

In particular this shows that  $f(\mathbf{T})_\mu$  is well defined. We have

$$a_n \mathbf{T}^n P = a_n (c + \mathbf{R})^n P = a_n \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} c^{n-k} \mathbf{R}^k P = \sum_{k=0}^{\deg P} \binom{n}{k} c^{n-k} \mathbf{R}^k P.$$

At the last step we used (1.4) and the fact that

$$\binom{n}{k} = 0, \quad \text{if } k > n.$$

This shows that the formal series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n (\mathbf{T}^n P)(x)$  can be written as a *finite* linear combination of formal series

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n (\mathbf{T}^n P)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\deg P} \frac{\mathbf{R}^k P(x)}{k!} \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n [n]_k c^{n-k} \right).$$

From the linearity of the  $\mu$ -summation operator we deduce

$${}^\mu \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n (\mathbf{T}^n P)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\deg P} \frac{\mathbf{R}^k P(x)}{k!} \left( {}^\mu \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n [n]_k c^{n-k} \right)$$

$$= \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\deg P} \frac{f^{(k)}(c)_\mu}{k!} \mathbf{R}^k \right) P(x) = f(\mathbf{T})_\mu P(x)$$

□

## 2 Some applications

To describe some consequences of Theorem 1.1 we need to first describe some classical facts about regularization methods.

For any sequence  $a \in \mathbf{Seq}$  we denote by  $\mathbf{G}_a(t) \in \mathbb{R}[[t]]$  its generating series. We regard the partial sum construction  $\mathbf{S}$  in (1.1) as a linear operator  $\mathbf{S} : \mathbf{Seq} \rightarrow \mathbf{Seq}$ . Observe that

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{S}[a]}(t) = \frac{1}{1-t} \mathbf{G}_a(t).$$

We say that a regularization method  $\mu_1 = (\mu^1 \text{ lim}, \mathbf{Seq}_{\mu_1})$  is stronger than the regularization method  $\mu_0 = (\mu^1 \text{ lim}, \mathbf{Seq}_{\mu_0})$ , and we write this  $\mu_0 \prec \mu_1$ , if

$$\mathbf{Seq}_{\mu_0} \subset \mathbf{Seq}_{\mu_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu^1 \lim_n a(n) = \mu^0 \lim_n a(n), \quad \forall a \in \mathbf{Seq}_{\mu_0}.$$

The *Abel regularization method*<sup>2</sup>  $A$  is defined as follows. We say that a sequence  $a$  is  $A$  convergent if

- the radius of convergence of the series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n$  is at least 1 and
- the function  $t \mapsto (1-t) \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n$  has a finite limit as  $t \rightarrow 1^-$ .

Hence

$${}^A \lim a(n) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} (1-t) \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n,$$

and  $\mathbf{Seq}_A$  consists of sequence for which the above limit exists and it is finite. Using (2) we deduce that a series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)$  is  $A$ -convergent if and only if the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n$$

exists and it is finite. We have the following immediate result.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Suppose that  $f(z)$  is a holomorphic function defined in an open neighborhood of the set  $\{1\} \cup \{|z|\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ . If  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^n$  is the Taylor series expansion of  $f$  at  $z = 0$  then the corresponding formal power series  $[f] = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n$  is  $A$ -regular at  $t = 1$ ,*

$$[f]^{(k)}(1)_A = f^k(1),$$

and the series

$$[f](r)_A = \sum_k \frac{[f]^{(k)}(1)_A}{k!} r^k$$

coincides with the Taylor expansion of  $f$  at  $z = 1$ , and it converges to  $f(1+r)$ .

<sup>2</sup>This was apparently known and used by Euler.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Suppose that  $f(z)$  is a holomorphic function defined in an open neighborhood of the set  $\{1\} \cup \{|z|\} \subset \mathbb{C}$  and  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^n$  is the Taylor series expansion of  $f$  at  $z = 0$ . Then for every  $\mathbf{T}$  in  $\mathcal{O}$  such that  $c_0(\mathbf{T}) = 1$ , any  $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ , and any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have*

$${}^A \sum_n a_n \mathbf{T}^n P(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{f^k(1)}{k!} (\mathbf{T} - 1)^k P(x). \quad \square$$

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . A sequence  $a \in \mathbf{Seq}$  is said to be  $C_k$ -convergent (or Cesàro convergent of order  $k$ ) if the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbf{S}^k[a](n)}{\binom{n+k}{k}}$$

exists and it is finite. We denote this limit by  ${}^{C_k} \lim a(n)$ . A series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)$  is said to be  $C_k$ -convergent if the sequence of partial sums  $\mathbf{S}[a]$  is  $C_k$  convergent. Thus the  $C_k$ -sum of this series is

$${}^{C_k} \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbf{S}^{k+1}[a](n)}{\binom{n+k}{k}}.$$

More explicitly, we have (see [3, Eq.(5.4.5)])

$${}^{C_k} \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\binom{n+k}{k}} \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^n \binom{\nu+k}{k} a(n-\nu) \right)$$

Hence

$${}^{C_k} \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n) \iff \mathbf{S}^{k+1}[a](n) \sim A \binom{n+k}{k} \sim A \frac{n^k}{k!},$$

where

$$a \sim b \iff \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(n)}{b(n)} = 1,$$

if  $a(n), b(n) \neq 0$ , for  $n \gg 0$ .

The  $C_0$  convergence is equivalent with the classical convergence and it is known (see [3, Thm. 43, 55]) that

$$C_k \prec C_{k'} \prec A, \quad \forall k < k'.$$

Given this fact, we define a sequence to be  $C$ -convergent (Cesàro convergent) if it is  $C_k$ -convergent for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note that  $C \prec A$ . Both the  $C$  and  $A$  methods are shift invariant, i.e., they satisfy the condition (1.2).

We want to comment a bit about possible methods of establishing  $C$ -convergence. To formulate a general strategy we need to introduce a classical notation. More precisely, if  $f(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n$  is a formal power series we let  $[t^n]f(t)$  denote the coefficient of  $t^n$  in this power series, i.e.  $[t^n]f(t) = a_n$ .

Let  $f(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n$ . Then the series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n$   $C$ -converges to  $A$  if and only if there exists a nonnegative real number  $\alpha$  such that

$$[t^n] \left( (1-t)^{-(\alpha+1)} f(t) \right) \sim A \frac{n^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)},$$

where  $\Gamma$  is Euler's Gamma function. For a proof we refer to [3, Thm. 43].

**Definition 2.3.** We say that a power series  $f(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n$  is *Cesàro convenient* (or *C-convenient*) at 1 if the following hold.

- (i) The radius of convergences of the series is  $\geq 1$
- (ii) The function  $f$  is regular at  $z = 1$  and has finitely many singularities  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_\nu \neq 1$  on the unit circle  $\{|z| = 1\}$ .
- (iii) There exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$  such that  $f$  admits a continuation to the dimpled disk

$$\Delta_{\varepsilon, \theta} := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1 + \varepsilon, \arg\left(\frac{z}{\zeta_j} - 1\right) > \theta, \forall j = 1, \dots, \nu \right\}.$$

- (iv) For every singular point  $\zeta_j$  there exists a positive integer  $m_j$  such that

$$f(z) = O((z - \zeta_j)^{-m_j}) \text{ as } z \rightarrow \zeta_j, z \in \Delta.$$

□

The results in [2, Chap. VI] implies that the collection  $\mathcal{R}_C$  of  $C$ -convenient power series is a ring satisfying

$$f \in \mathcal{R}_C \iff \frac{df}{dt} \in \mathcal{R}_C.$$

Invoking [2, Thm VI.5] we deduce the following useful consequence.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $f \in \mathbb{R}[[t]]$  be a power series  $C$ -convenient at 1. Then  $f$  is  $C$ -regular at 1 and

$$f^k(1)_C = f^{(k)}(1)_A = f^{(k)}(1). \quad \square$$

Using [2, VII.7] we obtain the following useful result.

**Corollary 2.5.** (a) The power series

$$(1+t)^{-m} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{n+m-1}{n} (-t)^n, \quad m \geq 1, \quad \log(1+t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{t^n}{n}$$

are  $C$ -regular at 1.

(b) If  $f(z)$  is an algebraic function defined on the unit disk  $|z| < 1$  and regular at  $z = 1$  then the Taylor series of  $f$  at  $z = 0$  is  $C$ -regular at 1.

Recall that the Cauchy product of two sequences  $a, b \in \mathbf{Seq}$  is the sequence  $a * b$ ,

$$a * b(n) = \sum_{i=0}^n a(n-i)b(i), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

A regularization method is said to be *multiplicative* if

$${}^\mu \sum_n a * b(n) = \left( {}^\mu \sum_n a(n) \right) \left( {}^\mu \sum_n b(n) \right),$$

for any  $\mu$ -convergent series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)$  and  $\sum_{n \geq 0} b(n)$ . The results of [3, Chap.X] show that the  $C$  and  $A$  methods are multiplicative.

For any regularization method  $\mu$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  we denote by  $\mathbb{R}[[t]]_\mu$  the set of series that are  $\mu$ -regular at  $t = 1$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $\mu$  be a multiplicative regularization method. Then  $\mathbb{R}[[t]]_\mu$  is a commutative ring with one and we have the product rule*

$$(f \cdot g)^{(n)}(1)_\mu = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} f^{(k)}(1)_\mu \cdot g^{(n-k)}(1)_\mu.$$

Moreover, if  $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{O}$  is such that  $c_0(\mathbf{T}) = 1$  then the map

$$\mathbb{R}[[t]]_\mu \ni f \mapsto f(\mathbf{T})_\mu \in \mathcal{O}$$

is a ring morphism.

**Proof.** The product formula follows from the iterated application of the equalities

$$D_t(fg) = (D_t f)g + f(D_t g), \quad (fg)(1)_\mu = f(1)_\mu \cdot g(1)_\mu, \quad f'(1)_\mu = (D_t f)(1)_\mu,$$

where  $D_t : \mathbb{R}[[t]] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[[t]]$  is the formal differentiation operator  $\frac{d}{dt}$ . The last statement is an immediate application of the above product rule.  $\square$

**Remark 2.7.** The inclusion  $\mathbb{R}[[t]]_C \subset \mathbb{R}[[t]]_A$  is strict. For example, the power series

$$f(z) = e^{1/(1+z)}$$

satisfies the assumption of Proposition 2.1 so that the associated formal power series  $[f]$  is  $A$ -regular at 1. On the other hand, the arguments in [3, §5.12] show that  $[f]$  is not  $C$ -regular at 1.  $\square$

Consider the translation operator  $\mathbf{U}^h \in \mathcal{O}$ . From Taylor's formula

$$p(x+h) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{h^n}{n!} D^n p(x)$$

we deduce that

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{U}^h}(t) = e^{th}.$$

Set  $\Delta_h := \mathbf{U}^h - 1$ . Using Corollary 2.5 and Theorem 1.1 we deduce the following result.

**Corollary 2.8.** For any  $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  we have

$${}^C \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n P(x + nh) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n} \Delta_h^n \right) P(x). \tag{2.1}$$

Observe that

$$\left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_h \right) \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n} \Delta_h^n \right) = 1$$

so that  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n} \Delta_h^n$  is the inverse of the operator  $2 + \Delta_h$ . We thus have

$${}^C \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n P(x + nh) = (2 + \Delta_h)^{-1} P(x) = (1 + \mathbf{U}^h)^{-1} P(x). \tag{2.2}$$

**Remark 2.9.** Here is a heuristic explanation of the equality (2.2) assuming the Cesàro convergence of the series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n P(x + nh)$ . Denote by  $S(x)$  the Cesàro sum of this series. Then

$$\begin{aligned} S(x + h) &= {}^C \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n P(x + (n + 1)h) \\ &\stackrel{(1,2)}{=} - {}^C \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n P(x + h) + P(x) = -S(x) + P(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$S(x + h) + S(x) = P(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If we knew that  $S(x)$  is a polynomial we would then deduce

$$S(x) = (1 + \mathbf{U}^h)^{-1} P(x). \tag{□}$$

The inverse of  $1 + \mathbf{U}^h$  can be explicitly expressed using Euler numbers and polynomials, [4, Eq. (14), p.134]. The Euler numbers  $E_k$  are defined by the Taylor expansion

$$\frac{1}{\cosh t} = \frac{2}{e^t + e^{-t}} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{E_k}{k!} t^k.$$

Since  $\cosh t$  is an even function we deduce that  $E_k = 0$  for odd  $k$ . They satisfy the recurrence relation

$$E_n + \binom{n}{2} E_{n-2} + \binom{n}{4} E_{n-4} + \dots = 0, \quad n \geq 2. \tag{2.3}$$

Here are the first few Euler numbers.

$n$	0	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16
$E_n$	1	-1	5	-61	1,385	-50,521	2,702,765	-199,360,981	19,391,512,145



Then

$$\frac{1}{1 + U^h} = \frac{U^{-\frac{h}{2}}}{U^{\frac{h}{2}} + U^{-\frac{h}{2}}} = \frac{U^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{e^{\frac{D}{2}} + e^{-\frac{D}{2}}} = \frac{1}{2} U^{-\frac{h}{2}} \frac{1}{\cosh \frac{hD}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} U^{-\frac{h}{2}} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{E_k h^k}{2^k k!} D^k.$$

Hence

$${}^C \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n P(x + nh) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{E_k h^k}{2^k k!} P^{(k)} \left( x - \frac{h}{2} \right). \tag{2.4}$$

When  $P(x) = x^m$ ,  $h = 1$ , we have

$${}^C \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n (x + n)^m = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{m}{k} \frac{E_k}{2^k} \left( x - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{m-k}. \tag{2.5}$$

Setting  $x = 0$  and using the equality  $E_{2j+1} = 0, \forall j$  we conclude that

$${}^C \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n n^m = \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^{m-k} E_k \binom{m}{k} = \frac{(-1)^m}{2^{m+1}} \sum_{k \geq 0} E_{2k} \binom{m}{2k}. \tag{2.6}$$

Using (2.3) we deduce that when  $m$  is even,  $m = 2m', m' > 0$  we have

$${}^C \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n n^{2m'} = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

For example

$$1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + \dots \stackrel{C}{=} \frac{1}{2}, \tag{†_0}$$

$$-1 + 2 - 3 + 4 - \dots \stackrel{C}{=} -\frac{1}{4}, \tag{†_1}$$

$$-1 + 2^3 - 3^3 + 4^3 - \dots \stackrel{C}{=} \frac{1}{8}, \tag{†_3}$$

$$-1^5 + 2^5 - 3^5 + 4^5 - \dots \stackrel{C}{=} -\frac{1}{4}. \tag{†_5}$$

When  $P(x) = \binom{x}{m}, x = 0, h = 1$  then it is more convenient to use (2.1) because

$$\Delta \binom{x}{k} = \binom{x}{k-1}, \quad \forall k, x.$$

We deduce

$${}^C \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \binom{n}{m} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{(-1)^k}{2^k} \binom{0}{m-k} = \frac{(-1)^m}{2^{m+1}}. \tag{2.8}$$

**Example 2.10.** Consider the translation invariant operator

$$\mathbf{T} : \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x], \quad P(x) \mapsto \int_0^\infty e^{-s} P(x+s) dx.$$

Set  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{T} - 1$ . As explained in [1, II.3.B], the operators  $\mathbf{T}$  and  $\mathbf{R}$  are intimately related to the Laguerre polynomials. We have  $\mathbf{R} = D\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}D$  and<sup>3</sup>

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{T}}(t) = \frac{1}{1-t} \sigma_{\mathbf{R}}(t) = \frac{1}{1-t} - 1 = \frac{t}{1-t}.$$

If  $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  is a polynomial of degree  $m$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}^k P(x)_{x=0} &= (1 + D + \cdots + D^m) P(x)_{x=0} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^k} e^{-(s_1+s_2+\cdots+s_k)} P(s_1 + \cdots + s_k) ds_1 \cdots ds_k. \end{aligned}$$

For  $t \geq 0$  we denote by  $\Delta_k(t)$  the  $(k-1)$  simplex

$$\Delta_{k-1}(t) := \{ (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^k; s_1 + \cdots + s_k = t \},$$

and by  $dV_{k-1}(t)$  the Euclidean volume element on  $\Delta_{k-1}(t)$ . Integrating along the fibers of the function  $f : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^k \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $f(s_1, \dots, s_k) = s_1 + \cdots + s_k$  we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^k} e^{-(s_1+s_2+\cdots+s_k)} P(s_1 + \cdots + s_k) ds_1 \cdots ds_k &= \int_0^\infty \left( \int_{\Delta_{k-1}(t)} \frac{1}{|\nabla f|} dV_{k-1}(t) \right) e^{-t} P(t) dt \\ &= \frac{v_{k-1}}{\sqrt{k}} \int_0^\infty e^{-s} s^{k-1} P(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where  $v_{k-1}$  is the  $(k-1)$ -dimensional volume of the  $(k-1)$ -simplex  $\Delta_{k-1} = \Delta_{k-1}(t)_{t=1}$ .

To compute the volume  $v_{k-1}$  we view  $\Delta_k$  as a regular  $k$ -simplex with distinguished base  $\Delta_k$ , and distinguished vertex  $(0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ . The distance  $d_k$  from the vertex to the base is the distance from the vertex to the center of the base. We have

$$d_k^2 = 1 + \frac{1}{k}, \quad d_k = \sqrt{\frac{k+1}{k}}, \quad v_k = \frac{1}{k} d_k v_{k-1} = \left( \frac{k+1}{k^3} \right)^{1/2} v_{k-1}.$$

Since  $v_0 = 1$  we deduce

$$v_k = \frac{(k+1)^{1/2}}{k!}, \quad \mathbf{T}^k P(x)_{x=0} = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^\infty e^{-s} s^{k-1} P(s) ds,$$

and

$$\mathbf{R}^k P(x)_{x=0} = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^\infty e^{-s} s^{k-1} P^{(k)}(s) ds.$$

<sup>3</sup>We can write formally  $\mathbf{T} = \int_0^\infty e^{-s} \mathbf{U}^s ds = \int_0^\infty e^{-s(1-D)} ds = (1+D)^{-1}$ , so that  $\sigma_{\mathbf{T}}(t) = \frac{1}{1-t}$ .

Using Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 2.4 with the  $C$ -convenient series  $f(t) = (1+t)^{-1}$  we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} {}^C \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \mathbf{T}^n P(x)_{x=0} &= {}^C \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^\infty e^{-s} s^{n-1} P(s) ds \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\deg P} \frac{(-1)^k}{2^{k+1}(k-1)!} s^{k-1} P^{(k)}(s) \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

If we let  $P(s) = s^m$  we deduce

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-s} s^{n-1} P(s) ds = (m+n-1)!, \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-s} s^{k-1} P^{(k)}(s) ds = [m]_k (m-1)! = [m-1]_{k-1} m!,$$

and

$${}^C \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \binom{m+n-1}{m} = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{(-1)^k}{2^{k+1}} \binom{m-1}{k-1}. \tag{2.9}$$

Let us point out that (2.9) can be obtained from (2.8) using the shift-invariance of the Cesàro regularization method.  $\square$

## References

- [1] M. Aigner, *Combinatorial Theory*, Springer Verlag, 1997.
- [2] P. Flajolet, R. Sedgewick, *Analytic Combinatorics*, Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [3] G.H. Hardy, *Divergent Series*, Chelsea Publishing Co. 1991.
- [4] N.E. Nörlund, *Mémoire sur les polynomes de Bernoulli*, Acta Math. **43**(1922), 121-194.