

# Topological Degree for Pseudomonotone Potential Operators

Silviu SBURLAN

**Abstract.** In this note we give an extension of a recent result concerning the fact that the topological degree of a coercive map is one still remains true for a pseudomonotone potential map between a reflexive Banach space and its dual space. Some considerations concerning the solution property of degree required in applications are also appended.

**Keywords:** topological degree, potential operators, pseudomonotone maps.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000):** 47H09, 47H11.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $U$  be an open set of some real Hilbert space  $H$  and suppose that the gradient  $\nabla u : U \rightarrow H$  of a given function  $f \in C^1(U, \mathbb{R})$  is a Leray-Schauder map ( $LS$ -map for short), that is,  $\nabla f = I - F$ , where  $I : H \rightarrow H$  is the identity operator and  $F \in K(U, H)$  is a compact map. Let  $B(x_0, r)$  be the open ball of center  $x_0$  and radius  $r$ , and a bar denotes the closure in  $H$ .

In [1] Amann proved the following results:

**Theorem 1** *Suppose that, for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , the set  $V := f^{-1}(-\infty, \beta)$  is bounded and  $\bar{V} \subset U$ . Moreover, suppose that there exist numbers  $\alpha < \beta$ ,  $r > 0$  and a point  $x_0 \in U$  such that  $f^{-1}(-\infty, \alpha] \subset \bar{B}(x_0, r) \subset V$  and  $\nabla f(x) \neq 0, \forall x \in f^{-1}[\alpha, \beta]$ . Then  $d_{LS}(\nabla f, B(0, r), 0) = 1$ .*

**Direct consequences:**

**(C1)** *Suppose that  $U = H$  and  $f(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $\nabla f(x) \neq 0$  for  $\|x\| \geq r_0$ , with some  $r_0 > 0$ , then there exists  $r_1 \geq r_0$  such that  $d_{LS}(\nabla f, B(0, r), 0) = 1, \forall r \geq r_1$ .*

**(C2)** *If  $x_0 \in U$  is an isolated critical point of  $f$  at which  $f$  has a local minimum, then  $LS$ -index of  $\nabla f$  at  $x_0$ ,  $i_{LS}(\nabla f, x_0) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} d_{LS}(\nabla f, B(x_0, s), 0) = 1$ .*

**(C3)** *Under the hypotheses of theorem 1, suppose that  $x_1 \in V$  is a critical point of  $f$ , which is not a global minimum of  $f$  in  $V$ , such that either  $F$  is Fréchet differentiable at  $x_1$  and  $\lambda = 1$  is not an eigenvalue of  $F'(x_1) \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ , or  $x_1$  is a local minimum. Then  $f$  has at least three critical points in  $V$ . The assertion remains true in case  $U = H$  and  $f(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$ .*

In [3], D.M. Duc et al. extend these results for continuous potential operators of class  $(S_+)$  by using Galerkin method as Browder first in [2].

In this note we extend the above results to a class of pseudomonotone potential operators by using an approach due to Berkowritz and Mustonen (see for details [7]).

## 2 Topological Degree for Pseudomonotone Operators

Let  $X$  be a real reflexive Banach space and  $X^*$  its dual space, whose norms are denoted by the same symbol  $\|\cdot\|$ , without danger of confusion. By " $\rightarrow$ " and " $\rightharpoonup$ ", we denote the *strong* and *weak convergence* respectively, while  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  means the *duality pairing between  $X$  and  $X^*$* .

The key role in definition of the topological degree for mappings of monotone type is played by the so called *mappings of type  $(S_+)$*  and the *pseudomonotone* ones. The operator  $T$  is of type  $(S_+)$ , denoted  $T \in (S_+)$ , if each sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset X$  with  $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$  for which

$$\limsup \langle Tu_n, u_n - u_0 \rangle \leq 0 \quad (1)$$

is in fact strongly convergent in  $X$ .

The operator  $T$  is *pseudomonotone*, denoted  $T \in (PM)$ , if each sequence  $\{u_n\}$  in  $X$  with  $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$  for which (1) holds, it follows that  $\langle Tu_n, u_n - u_0 \rangle \rightarrow 0$  and  $Tu_n \rightharpoonup Tu_0$ . Moreover,  $T$  is *quasimonotone*, denoted  $T \in (QM)$ , if for each sequence  $\{u_n\}$  in  $X$  with  $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ , it follows that

$$\limsup \langle Tu_n - Tu_0, u_n - u_0 \rangle \geq 0.$$

We assume that all mappings considered are *bounded*, i.e., they carry bounded sets into bounded sets, and *demicontinuous*, i.e.,  $Tu_n \rightharpoonup Tu_0$  for any  $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ .

The original construction of a  $(S_+)$ -degree theory, performed independently by I.V. Skrypnik and F.E. Browder, were based on Galerkin approximations and Brouwer degree. Our approach for the degree of monotone operators relies on the *LS-degree* and the Browder-Ton representation theorem (see [4], p. 302):

**Theorem 2** *Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space and let  $S$  be a countable set in  $X$ . Then there exists a separable Hilbert space  $H$  and a compact one-to-one linear operator  $\psi : H \rightarrow X$  such that  $S \subset \psi(H)$  and  $\psi(H)$  is dense in  $X$ .*

Define now the adjoint operator  $\varphi : X^* \rightarrow H$  by

$$(\varphi(w), v) = \langle w, \psi(v) \rangle, \forall v \in H, w \in X^*. \quad (2)$$

Clearly,  $\varphi$  is also a linear compact injection. Here  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the scalar product in  $H$  which induces the norm  $|\cdot|$  and we can suppose  $\|\psi\| = |\varphi| = 1$  without loss of generality.

For a given open bounded set  $D \subset X$ , let us denote

$$F_D(S_+) := \{F : \overline{D} \rightarrow X^* \mid F \in (S_+), \text{ bounded and demicontinuous}\}.$$

For each  $F \in F_D(S_+)$  we can associate the family of mappings  $\{F_\varepsilon \mid \varepsilon > 0\}$  defined by

$$F_\varepsilon(u) := u + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \psi \varphi F(u), u \in \overline{D}. \quad (3)$$

Observe that, for any fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $F_\varepsilon$  maps  $\overline{D}$  into  $X$  and it has the form  $I - T_\varepsilon$ , where  $T_\varepsilon = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\psi\varphi F$  is compact. Hence  $F_\varepsilon \in LS(D, X)$  and  $d_{LS}(F_\varepsilon, D, p)$  is well defined for all  $p \notin F_\varepsilon(\partial D)$ . Therefore, for any  $p \in X^*$  with  $p \notin F(\partial D)$  we can define  $(S_+)$ -degree as follows:

$$d_{S_+}(F, D, p) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} d_{LS}(F_\varepsilon, D, p). \quad (4)$$

Of course, the  $(S_+)$ -degree has all the properties of LS-degree, except the normalization property, when we take instead of  $I$  the duality map  $J : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ , defined by  $\|J(x)\| = \|x\|$  and  $\langle J(x), x \rangle = \|x\|^2$ . For  $X$  a locally uniformly convex Banach space,  $J$  is an operator (an univalent mapping),  $J : X \rightarrow X^*$ , and belongs to class  $(S_+)$  as we can easily see ([4], p.145).

The preceding construction facilitate to introduce a degree for the more general class  $F_D(QM)$  of quasimonotone operators because of the following result due to Calvert and Well (see [6], p.17)

**Lemma 1** *A demicontinuos operator  $T : X \rightarrow X^*$  is quasimonotone if and only if for each  $\mu > 0$  the mapping  $T + \mu J$  is of type  $(S_+)$ .*

Therefore the  $(QM)$ -degree can be obtained through the approximation

$$d_{QM}(F, D, P) = \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} d_{S_+}(F + \mu J, D, p) \quad (5)$$

with  $p \notin \overline{F(\partial D)}$ . But this degree can not be a classical one, because in this case the image  $F(A)$  of a closed set  $A \subseteq \overline{D}$  is no more closed. This fact modifies all the properties; for instance, *the solution property* (see [7] for details) will be replaced in this case by the following implication

$$d_{QM}(F, D, p) \neq 0 \Rightarrow p \in \overline{F(D)}. \quad (6)$$

Since  $(S_+) \subset (PM) \subset (QM)$ , the  $(QM)$ -degree is well defined for all  $F \in F_D(PM)$ . The interest of pseudomonotone mappings in applications is due to the following closeness property

**(PM)** *For each  $F \in F_D(PM)$ , the set  $F(A)$  is closed whenever  $A \subseteq \overline{D}$  is weakly closed.*

Indeed, if  $\{w_n\} \subset F(A)$  with  $w_n \rightarrow w$ , then  $w_n = F(u_n)$  for some  $\{u_n\} \subset A$ . Since  $D$  is bounded,  $u_n \rightarrow u$  for some  $u \in A$  at least on a subsequence. Thus,  $\limsup \langle F(u_n), u_n - u \rangle = 0$ , implying  $F(u_n) \rightarrow F(u)$  and hence  $w = F(u) \in F(A)$ .

In particular, if  $D$  is convex, then  $\overline{D}$  is weakly closed, implying that  $F(\overline{D})$  is closed. Consequently, for  $F \in F_D(PM)$  and  $D$  convex, we can conclude

$$d_{QM}(F, D, p) \neq 0 \Rightarrow p \in F(\overline{D}). \quad (7)$$

This justifies the application of the solution property for pseudomonotone mappings on convex sets, and assures to our extension from the next section, the maximum topological meaning.

### 3 Topological Degree for Pseudomonotone Potential Operators

Let  $U$  be an open set in a real reflexive Banach space  $X$  and  $f \in C^1(U, \mathbb{R})$  a given function with  $F = \nabla f : X \rightarrow X^*$ , the Gâteaux gradient, a pseudomonotone operator. Observe that we can work with Gâteaux gradients instead of Fréchet ones (see e.g. [8]).

**Theorem 3** *Suppose that for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , the set  $V = f^{-1}(-\infty, \beta)$  is bounded and  $\bar{V} \subset U$ . Moreover suppose that there are numbers  $\alpha < \beta$  and  $r > 0$  and a point  $x_0 \in U$  such that  $f^{-1}(-\infty, \alpha] \subset B(x_0, r) \subset V$  and  $F(x) \neq 0, \forall x \in f^{-1}[\alpha, \beta]$ . Then  $d_{PM}(F, V, 0) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $V = f^{-1}(-\infty, \beta)$  is bounded and  $\bar{V} \subset U$  it follows that  $\mathcal{V} = \psi^{-1}(V)$  is relatively compact in  $H$  and thus there exist  $u_0 \in H$  and  $r > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{V} \subset B(u_0, r)$ . Similarly,  $\psi(B(u_0, r))$  is relatively compact in  $X$  and  $\bar{V} \subset U$ , which implies that  $\psi(B(u_0, r)) \subset B(x_0, r) \subset U$  for  $x_0 = \psi(u_0)$  and some  $R > 0$ .

Now, for any  $\alpha < \beta$  the set  $V_\alpha := f^{-1}(-\infty, \alpha] \subset V$  and thus it is bounded and closed. Then  $\psi^{-1}(V_\alpha)$  is compact and  $\psi^{-1}(V_\alpha) \subset B(u_0, r)$ . Hence  $V_\alpha \subset V \subset B(x_0, R) \subset U$ .

Suppose that  $F(x) \neq 0, \forall x \in f^{-1}[\alpha, \beta]$ . Then  $\mathcal{F} := \varphi F \psi : H \rightarrow H$  is compact in  $H$  and

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}(u)| &= \sup_{|v|=1} (\mathcal{F}(u), v) = \sup_{|v|=1} (\varphi F \psi(u), v) = \sup_{|v|=1} \langle F \psi(u), \psi(v) \rangle = \\ &= \|F(\psi u)\| \cdot \|\psi\| = \|F(x)\| > 0, \quad \forall x = \psi u \in f^{-1}[\alpha, \beta]. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

As  $F \in (PM) \Rightarrow (F + \mu J) \in (S_+)$  and thus  $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon\mu} := I + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \varphi(F + \mu J) \psi \in LS(H)$  and  $d_{LS}(\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon\mu}, \mathcal{V}, 0) = 1$  by theorem 1. Hence  $d_{S_+}(F + \mu J, V, 0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} d_{LS}(\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon\mu}, \mathcal{V}, 0) = 1$  and  $d_{PM}(F, V, 0) = \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} (F + \mu J, V, 0) = 1$  by definition.  $\square$

Remark that the result is generally true for mappings of class  $(QM)$ , but we are restricted to the class  $(PM)$  because of the solution property as stated in the previous section.

We shall prove that all direct consequences stated in Section 1 still remain true also for operators of class  $(PM)$ . Here we present the Amann's proofs adapted to our case.

**Corollary 1** *Let  $f$  be a real  $C^1$ -function on  $X$  such that its Gâteaux gradient  $F = \nabla f : X \rightarrow X^*$  is a bounded map of class  $(PM)$ . Suppose that  $f(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$  and that  $F(x) \neq 0$  for all  $\|x\| > r_0$  and some  $r_0 > 0$ . Then there exists  $r_1 \geq r_0$  such that  $d_{PM}(F, B(0, r), 0) = 1, \forall r \geq r_1$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that  $f(x) = \int_0^1 \langle F(sx), x \rangle ds$ . Take  $x = \psi u$  and write

$$\begin{aligned} f(\psi u) &= (f\psi)(u) = \int_0^1 \langle F(s\psi u), \psi u \rangle ds = \\ &= \int_0^1 (\varphi F \psi(su), u) ds = \int_0^1 (\mathcal{F}(su), u) ds \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

with  $\mathcal{F}$  a compact operator on  $H$ . Here  $f\psi$  maps bounded sets from  $H$  into bounded sets in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\psi(B(0, r))$  is a compact set in  $X$  because  $\psi : H \rightarrow X$  is a compact linear map. Hence there exists an  $r_0 > 0$  such that  $\psi(B(0, \delta)) \subseteq B(0, r_0) \subset X$ .

Thus, let  $\alpha = \sup f(B(0, r_0))$  and  $r_1 = \sup\{\|x\|; x \in f^{-1}((-\infty, \alpha])\}$ . For given  $r \geq r_1$ , fix  $\beta > \sup f(B(0, r))$  and apply theorem 3 with  $x_0 = 0$  and the excision property of degree.  $\square$

**Corollary 2** *Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a  $C^1$  function on an open convex set  $U \subseteq X$  with its Gâteaux gradient  $F = \nabla f : X \rightarrow X^*$  of class (PM) on  $U$ . Let  $x_0 \in U$  be an isolated critical point of  $f$  on  $U$ . If  $f$  has a local minimum at  $x_0$ , then  $i(F, x_0) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $F \in (PM)$  it follows that  $f$  is weakly sequentially lower semicontinuous on  $U$  (see [4], p.24). We can suppose without loss of generality that  $x_0 = 0$ ,  $f(0) = 0$  and there exists an  $r_0 > 0$  such that  $U = B(0, r_0)$  and 0 is the unique critical point of  $f$  in  $U$ .

We claim that

$$\inf f(\overline{B}(0, r_2) \setminus B(0, r_1)) > 0 \quad (10)$$

whenever  $0 < r_1 \leq r_2 < r_0$ .

Indeed, otherwise it would exist a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset \overline{B}(0, r_2) \setminus \overline{B}(0, r_1)$  such that  $f(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ . As  $X$  is a reflexive Banach space and  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded we can assume that  $x_n \rightharpoonup x \in \overline{B}(0, r_2)$  at least on a subsequence. Since  $f$  is weakly sequentially lower semicontinuous it results the implication

$$0 \leq f(x) \leq \liminf f(x_n) = 0 \implies x = 0. \quad (11)$$

On the other hand, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by the Lagrange's formula there is an  $s_n \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$f(x_n) - f\left(\frac{x_n}{2}\right) = \langle F\left(\frac{x_n}{2} + s_n \frac{x_n}{2}\right), \frac{x_n}{2} \rangle.$$

Since  $f\left(\frac{x_n}{2}\right) \geq 0$  and  $\lim f(x_n) = 0$ , by (11) we get

$$0 \geq \limsup \langle F\left(\frac{x_n}{2} + s_n \frac{x_n}{2}\right), \frac{x_n}{2} \rangle = \limsup \langle F\left((1 + s_n)\frac{x_n}{2}\right), (1 + s_n)\frac{x_n}{2} - s_n \frac{x_n}{2} \rangle.$$

As  $F \in (PM)$ , it follows that  $F + \mu J \in (S_+)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup \langle F\left((1 + s_n)\frac{x_n}{2}\right) + \mu J\left((1 + s_n)\frac{x_n}{2}\right), (1 + s_n)\frac{x_n}{2} - s_n \frac{x_n}{2} \rangle = \\ & = \limsup \langle F\left((1 + s_n)\frac{x_n}{2}\right), (1 + s_n)\frac{x_n}{2} - s_n \frac{x_n}{2} \rangle + \\ & + \mu \limsup \langle J\left((1 + s_n)\frac{x_n}{2}\right), (1 + s_n)\frac{x_n}{2} - s_n \frac{x_n}{2} \rangle \leq \\ & \leq \mu \limsup \left[ \langle J\left((1 + s_n)\frac{x_n}{2}\right), (1 + s_n)\frac{x_n}{2} \rangle - \langle J\left((1 + s_n)\frac{x_n}{2}\right), s_n \frac{x_n}{2} \rangle \right] \leq \\ & \leq \mu \limsup \left[ \left\| (1 + s_n)\frac{x_n}{2} \right\|^2 - \left\| J\left((1 + s_n)\frac{x_n}{2}\right) \right\| \cdot \left\| s_n \frac{x_n}{2} \right\| \right] \leq \\ & \leq -\mu \limsup \left\| (1 + s_n)\frac{x_n}{2} \right\| \cdot \left\| s_n \frac{x_n}{2} \right\| \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(1 + s_n)\frac{x_n}{2} \rightarrow 0$  and also  $x_n \rightarrow 0$ , in contradiction with  $\|x_n\| \geq r_1$ .

Now, fix  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  with  $0 < r_1 < r_2 < r_0$ , take  $\beta = \inf f(\overline{B}(0, r_2) \setminus B(0, r_1))$  and choose  $r > 0$  such that  $\overline{V} = \overline{B}(0, r) \subset f^{-1}((-\infty, \beta))$ . The result follows by applying theorem 3 with  $U = B(0, r_2)$  and  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \inf f(\overline{B}(0, r_2) \setminus B(0, r))$  since  $i_{PM}(F, 0) = d_{PM}(F, V, 0)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3** *Under the hypotheses of theorem 3, suppose that  $x_1 \in V$  is a critical point of  $f$ , which is not a global minimum of  $f$  in  $V$ , such that either  $F$  is Fréchet differentiable at  $x_1$  and  $\lambda = 1$  is not an eigenvalue of  $F'(x_1) \in \mathcal{L}(X, X^*)$  or  $x_1$  is a local minimum. Then  $f$  has at least three critical points in  $V$ . The assertion remains true in the case  $U = X$  and  $f(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* As  $F \in (PM) \implies (F + \mu J) \in (S_+)$  and thus  $\mathcal{F}_\mu = \varphi(F + \mu J)\psi$  is compact and also of  $(S_+)$  type on  $H$ . By chain rule and the Krasnosel'skii's theorem  $\mathcal{F}'_\mu(u_1) = \varphi'(F + \mu J)\psi'(u_1) = \varphi(F + \mu J)'(x_1)$  (with  $x_1 = \psi(u_1)$ ) is a compact linear operator on  $H$  and, thus, it is a continuous automorphism of  $H$  because  $\lambda = 1$  is not an eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{F}'_\mu(u_1)$ . Hence  $x_1$  is an isolated zero of  $F$  and  $i(\varphi F, x_1) = \pm 1$  by the Leray-Schauder index formula.

Since  $F \in (PM)$  it follows that  $f$  is weakly sequentially lower semicontinuous on  $V$  and it attains its global minimum at some  $x_2 \in V$  by the Weierstrass theorem (see [4], p. 24) and  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . If  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are the only critical points in  $V$  then

$$1 = d_{LS}(\varphi F, V, 0) = i(\varphi F, x_1) + i(\varphi F, x_2) = 0 \text{ or } 2$$

by the additivity theorem of  $LS$ -degree (see [5], p. 73), which is a contradiction. Thus,  $f$  must have at least three critical points in  $V$ . The last statement follows by Corollary 1.  $\square$

## References

- [1] H. Amann, A Note on Degree Theory for Gradient Mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 85, (1982), 591–595.
- [2] F.E. Browder, Nonlinear Elliptic Boundary Value Problems and the Generalized Topological Degree, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 76, (1970), 999–1005.
- [3] D.M. Duc, N.L. Luc, L.Q. Nam, T.T. Tuyen, On Topological Degree for Potential Operators of Class  $(S)_+$ , *Nonlinear Analysis*, 55, (2003), 951–968.
- [4] D. Pascali, S. Sburlan, *Nonlinear Mappings of Monotone Type*, Sijthoff & Noordhoff Int. Publ., 1978.
- [5] S. Sburlan, *Gradul topologic* (in Romanian), Ed. Acad. Rom., Bucuresti, 1983.
- [6] S. Sburlan, *Topological and Functional Methods for PDE's*, Ovidius Univ. Press, Constantza, 1995.
- [7] S. Sburlan, G. Morosanu, *Monotonicity Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, PAMM-MB 11, TU-Budapest, 1999.
- [8] M.M. Vainberg, *Variational Methods for the Study of Nonlinear Operators*, Holden-Day, San Francisco, Calif., 1964.